

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/841,691	04/24/20	01	Angelo Mascarenhas	NREL IR# 99-50	4372
23712	23712 7590 10/24/2003			EXAMINER	
	HITE, SENIOR		JACKSON JR, JEROME		
NATIONAL RENEWABLE ENERGY LABORATORY (NREL) 1617 COLE BOULEVARD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CO 80401-3393			2815	

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	_	_	K
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/841,691	MASCARENHAS, ANGELO
Į.	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Jerome Jackson Jr.	2815
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represended for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statically received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	<u> 5 September 2003</u> .	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of Claims		
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>2-28,30,32-78,80-85,89-119,122-1</u>	32,134,135 and 137-180 is/are pen	ding in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdi	•	0 11
	Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are allow		
·	Claim(s) <u>85,144,151,160,166 and 173</u> is/are		
	Claim(s) <u>89-105,145,152-155,161 and 1466</u>	-	
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) 🔲 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[] acc	cepted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approved b)∏ disappro	ved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.	
12) 🗌 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Applicati	on No
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Esee the attached detailed Office action for a lie	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
_a) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has been rec	eived.
Attachmen		p	
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
C Dotant and To	rademark Office		



Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims allowed are 2-28,30,32-78,80-84,106-119,122-132,134,135,137-143,147-150,156-159,162-172 and 174-180.

Application/Control Number: 09/841,691

Art Unit: 2815

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 85 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kuznetsov.

The previous rejection still applies. The new limitations "in a range of about...1.93eV" do not structurally distinguish over Kuznetsov who teaches similar bandgap. See page 417 and figure 1.

Claims 151 and 166 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yamamoto et al JJAP '99.

Yamamoto teaches co-doping of a II-VI semiconductor ZnO. Claims 151 and 166 are broad and do not structurally distinguish over Yamamoto. The functional language does not structurally distinguish over Yamamoto wherein the co-dopants function in the same manner.

Claims 144,160,173 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yamada et al NIMB '93.

Yamada teaches co-doping of InP. Claims 144,160, and 173 are broad and do not structurally distinguish over Yamada. The functional language does not structurally distinguish over Yamada and may be considered obvious function of co-dopants in Yamada.

Claims 2-28,30,32-78,80-84,106-119,122-132,134,135,137-143,147-150,156-159,162-172,174-180 are allowable.

Art Unit: 2815

Claims 89-105,145,146,152-155,161 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments filed 9/5/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claim 85 is rejected because claim 88 should have been rejected previously. The limitations in claim 88 do not structurally distinguish over Kuznetsov.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 703 308 4937. The examiner can normally be reached on t-th 9-5.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

jj

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER